Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/613,963	SAHNI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sai-Ming Chan	2416
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Sai-Ming Chan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Sarah Knight.	(4)	
Date of Interview: October 27, 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: □ No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1.7,13,19,21 and 23 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: With reference to the amendments filed on 7/17/2008 and 10/29/2008, Applicant's attorney has agreed to modify the specification and claims 1,7,13,19,21 and 23 and submit a supplemental amendment for the changes.		
Part II. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Sai-Ming Chan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)